

FOR AUSTRALIA'S NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT REGIONS

CLIMATE FUTURES TOOL USER GUIDE

Table of Contents

Introduction	
Getting to know the <i>Climate Futures</i> web-tool	5
Detailed Climate Projections	5
Setting the classifying variables and seasons	7
Displaying the projections: The Climate Futures Matrix	8
Setting Display Options	
Select which climate models to exclude if necessary	
Displaying the projections: the Climate Futures Matrix	
Selecting a representative model: Representative Model Wizard	
Exporting Data for Impact Assessments	15
Comparing Projections	17
Add/Remove experiments and target periods	
Comparing projections: multiple matrices	
Comparing projections: Composite Matrices	20
Glossary of Terms	21
References	

Introduction

Climate Futures is a new approach to exploring climate projections that reduces the level of complexity for the end-user. It allows the user to generate climate change projections tailored to their application, whether it is a general overview of climate change for a region, an investigation of likely threats and opportunities, or a detailed risk assessment using impact models.

The purpose of this document is to provide guidance in using the *Climate Futures* web-tool for the generation of national climate projections and the selection of climate model output for specific impact/risk assessments. *Climate Futures* is a free web-based tool providing access to and exploration of climate projections for Australia.

With the growing number of available climate models such as CMIP3 (Meehl et al., 2007), CMIP5 (Taylor et al., 2011), CORDEX (Giorgi et al., 2009), conducting detailed impact assessments using a large number of these climate models is often not feasible. The *Climate Futures* web-tool presents these projections in a unique way that reduces the complexity in an application-relevant way. For each greenhouse gas scenario the available projections are classified using two climate variables and are then grouped into what has been termed "climate futures". For temperature and rainfall, for example, the different groups are represented by simple descriptions such as "warmer and little change in rainfall", "warmer and much wetter", "hotter and drier" and so on. Likelihood statements are then assigned to each group according to the level of agreement amongst models for the given climate future, e.g. 75 % of the models may show a "warmer and wetter" future in 2055 under a medium greenhouse gas scenario, while 25 % show a "hotter and much wetter" future. The most likely climate future in this example is warmer and wetter.

Classifying climate projections, grouping them into climate futures, and allocating likelihoods reduces the complexity for end-users. By using the *Climate Futures* web-tool it is also possible to narrow the range of climate projections for specific applications, since it facilitates the process of choosing a small number of representative climate models appropriate for a designated application. The *Climate Futures* web-tool also ensures that data produced have internal consistency, which is necessary for complex and scientifically sound impact assessments involving multiple variables.

Getting to know the Climate Futures web-tool

The *Climate Futures* Demonstration site can be accessed from any modern internet browser at: <u>http://iav-devel.it.csiro.au</u>

Detailed Climate Projections

To access the **Detailed Climate Projections** select 'Detailed Climate Projections' from the menu bar. You will see the advanced interface as shown in **Error! Reference source not found.**

In this interface, you are presented with the choice of greenhouse gas scenario, time period, climate variables and seasons that are used to classify and group the climate futures, as well as display options.

Select an emi	salans scenaria and time period			2		_
Scenaria	A1B - medium emissions 🔻	Year	2030 🔻	1		0
Select classif	ying variables and seasons					3
Columns:	Surface Temperature	Annual	•	-		0
Rows:	Rainfall 🔻	Annual	•	2		
Display opti-	6 MA					
Climate vi	ariables-					
Surface Rainfall Maximu	Temperature Minimum Humidity m Daily Temperature Evapora	n Daily Temper tion	ature Solar Radiati	on 🔲 Strong Wind	4	
						Elead
Seasons- Annual January February	April August D May September D June October M	ecember ecember - Feb arch - May (M	nuary (DJF) UNovern	- November (SON) iber - April (NDJFMA) October (MJJASO)		
March	🗆 July 🖾 November 🖾 J	une - August (J	(AL		Select Months Select Quar	tery Clear
Models sei	ection				5	
Generate clis	nate futures for a region of interest					_
			-		5	Sector.
		- 1. P	AM	the ed	55	her.
					O O	
		•/	MNV	v	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
		1				
	Di	5	m		MNE	
	U/M	and the second second	the second se	200 011		Charles and the second se

Figure A: Climate Futures Advanced interface.

- 1. Drop-down menus for selecting a **greenhouse gas scenario** and **time period** (defaults are A1B and 2030).
- 2. Drop-down menus to select the **classifiers** used to define the climate futures matrix: two climate variables and their associated seasons (default climate variables are temperature and rainfall, and the default season is annual).
- 3. Blue **1** buttons providing more details regarding the input variables or refer to the Glossary of Terms on page 24.
- 4. **Display options** to create a more detailed Climate Futures Matrix.
- 5. **Models selection panel** to decide which climate models you want to exclude.
- 6. Map of the Australia regions click to explore climate futures for the region.

In the following sections, the features of the Advanced Mode are described in detail.

Setting the classifying variables and seasons

Two climate variables, called the **classifying variables**, are required to generate the projected climate futures that will be displayed in the Climate Futures Matrix. By default, surface temperature and rainfall are used. There are 10 variables to choose from:

Table A: Climate variables available in Climate Futures

- Surface Temperature
- Max. Daily Surface Temperature
- Min. Daily Surface Temperature
- Rainfall
- Heavy Rainfall

- Wind speed
- Strong Wind
- Relative Humidity
- Potential Evapotranspiration

• Solar Radiation

Note: Not all models and greenhouse gas scenarios have data for all variables.

Click on the arrow on the drop down menu to see a list of the 10 climate variables (Figure B) then click on your selection:

Select classi	fying variables and se	asons	
Columns:	Surface Temperature	Annual 🛟	1
Rows:	Surface Temperature Rainfall Wind Speed Maximum Daily Temperature	Annual	
Generate cli	Minimum Daily Temperature Humidity	n of interest	
Ł.	Evaporation Solar Radiation Heavy Rainfall Strong Wind	*	

Figure B: Selecting the classifying climate variables.

Note: "Heavy" and "Strong" are defined as the 99th percentile, i.e. the heaviest/strongest 1% of events. See the Glossary of Terms on page 24 for a detailed description of the climate variables or click the blue information button **1**.

For each climate variable a **season** can be selected. You have the following options to choose from:

Table B: Seasons to choose from

- Annual (all seasons)
- November-April
- May-October
- Individual months

In order to change the default value (annual) just click on the little arrows on the drop down menu to show the seasons available and click on your choice (Figure C).



Figure C: Selecting seasons for the two chosen classifying variables.

Seasonal climate futures provide more information about when the largest and smallest changes are likely to occur. In some cases, little change in the annual average can mask large increases and decreases in particular seasons. This can be important for activities in which the timing of climatic events is critical, such as planting or harvesting crops.

Displaying the projections: The Climate Futures Matrix

Once the above settings have been selected, the projected climate futures are generated by clicking on the region of interest on the map provided. The results are then displayed in a table referred to as the **Climate Futures Matrix** (Figure D). The selected climate variables are shown across the top and left sides in pre-defined categories. These categories are based on changes relative to a 1990 baseline (the twenty-year period from 1980-1999). Model projections are classified and grouped into cells in the matrix, with each cell representing a climate future. Information is also provided on the relative likelihood of each climate future.

1-			 Annual Surface Temperature 	(C)	
1	2	Slightly Warmer < 0.50	Warmer 0.50 to 1.50	Hotter 1.50 to 3.00	Much Hotter > 3.00
	Much Drier < -15.00				
	Drier -15.00 to -5.00	4	3 of 18 models		
Annual Rainfall (mm)	Little Change -5.00 to 5.00	+ 2 of 18 models	+ 11 of 18 models	3	
	Wetter 5.00 to 15.00		2 of 18 models		
	Much Wetter > 15.00				20

Figure D: Example Climate Futures Matrix.

- 1. The **classifying variables** and corresponding **seasons** that are used for the classification of projections appear along the top and left sides of the matrix.
- 2. There are **pre-defined categories** for the classification that describe the climate futures (in grid cells). For temperature and rainfall they range from "Slightly Warmer" to "Much Hotter" and "Much Drier" to "Much Wetter". Note that the division of classes can vary between variables. For temperature there is only an increase; rainfall has classes for increase, decrease and little change.
- 3. Likelihoods are assigned to the different "climate futures" using the colour shadings below (Table C).
- 4. **Expand/Collapse buttons** show more detail within the cell such as model names and display data.

Nil	Not projected by any models			
Very low	Projected by up to 10% of the models			
Low	Projected by more than 10%, up to 33% of the models			
Moderate	Projected by more than 33%, up to 66% of the models			
High	Projected by more than 66%, up to 90% of the models			
Very high	Projected by more than 90% of the models			

Table C: Colour shadings used for assigning likelihoods to climate futures

In this example (Figure D), the most likely climate future is "Warmer and Little Change in Rainfall", as most of the models (66 %) fall into this particular future. The most extreme climate futures are "Warmer Wetter" and "Warmer and Drier" and the climate future showing the least change from the past is "Slightly Warmer and Little Change in Rainfall". However, this is only the case for the greenhouse gas scenario and time period that have been selected, as displayed at the top of the page (See **Error! Reference source not found.**: 1-4).

In the Advanced Mode there are several display options that result in a more detailed results and the chance to decide which models should be included in generating climate futures for a region of interest.

Setting Display Options

The **display options panel** (Figure E) in the advanced view (Figure A: 4) allows you to select which of the climate variables available in *Climate Futures* you would like to display within the climate futures matrix. You can select one, several, or all of the 10 variables. It is important to understand which variables 'belong' within a given climate future for a given pair of classifying variables. In general, only variables that change in a predictable way when one of the classifying variables changes (*i.e.* that are 'correlated') should be displayed within the matrix. Table D shows which variables correspond with each of the three most commonly used classifying variables, surface temperature, rainfall and wind speed.

Table D: Appropriate variables to use with each of the three most commonly used classifying
variables. Variables marked with an asterisk (*) will not always be correlated.

Surface Temperature	Rainfall	Wind Speed
Maximum Temperature	Heavy Rainfall*	Strong Wind*
Minimum Temperature	Solar Radiation	
Evaporation	Relative Humidity	

It is important to note, however, that the variables, Heavy Rainfall and Strong Wind will not always be well correlated with Rainfall and Wind Speed, respectively. The nature of the relationship between these variables can be investigated with the climate futures matrix. For example, to investigate the relationship between Wind Speed and Strong Wind, set these two variables as the classifying variables and examine the resultant matrix. If there is a tendency for Strong Wind to increase or decrease as Wind Speed increases, there is a correlation. However, if changes in one variable generally correspond to little or no change in the other, there is little or no correlation.

Note: If no variables are ticked in the Display options panel, the climate futures matrix will look the same as in

1-			 Annual Surface Temperature 	(C)	
1	2	Slightly Warmer < 0.50	Warmer 0.50 to 1.50	Hotter 1.50 to 3.00	Much Hotter > 3.00
	Much Drier < -15.00				
	Drier -15.00 to -5.00	4	3 of 18 models		
Annual Rainfall (mm)	Little Change -5.00 to 5.00	+ 2 of 18 models	+ 11 of 18 models	3	
	Wetter 5.00 to 15.00		+ 2 of 18 models		
	Much Wetter > 15.00				

, page 9.

Display options	
Climate variables	
Rainfall Humidity Heavy Rainfall Maximum Daily Temperature Evaporation Wind Speed	Clear
Seasons	Close
January May September November - April (NDJFMA) February June October May - October (MJJASO) March July November	

Figure E: Display options to create a more detailed climate futures matrix.

You can also choose a corresponding season of interest. Detailed information is available for the whole year, individual months, as well as for seasons: November-April and May-October.

Note: This selection of climate variables and seasons affects the information displayed within the cells of the matrix but not the classification of projections into Climate Futures (i.e. you will still see the same climate futures and associated likelihoods). It merely provides more detailed information for the climate variables chosen when the cells are expanded.

Select which climate models to exclude if necessary

By default, all models are selected. To exclude one or more models from the projections, click the **variable** expand button to display the model selection panel (Figure F), in the advanced view (Figure A: 5). Individual models can be de-selected by clicking on the tick-boxes to remove the tick.

However, models should only be excluded if there are good reasons to do so, for example if a model has been shown to perform poorly in a particular region. When generating projections for these regions, it may be desirable to exclude them. In general though, the climate futures matrix should be generated with as many models as possible to ensure the full range of possible climate futures is shown.

Excluded models can be re-included by clicking the tick-boxes again. Information about the climate model can also be obtained by clicking on the model name.

Nodels selection			
Caution: selecting a subset of the availab	e models can bias the likelikhoods of o	slimate futures	
BCCR: BCM2.0 (?)	CCCMA: CCCM3.1(T47) (?)	CCCMA: CGCM3.1(T63) (?)	CNRM: CM3 (?)
SIRO Mk3.0 (7)	SIRO Mk3.5 (?)	Max Planck: ECHAM5/MPI-OM (?)	MIUB/KMA: ECHO-G (?)
☑ LASG/IAP: FGOALS-G1.0 (?)	GFDL 2.0 (?)	GFDL 2.1 (?)	SPL-CM4 (?)
CCR: MIROC-H (?)	CCR: MIROC-M (?)	MRI-CGCM2.3.2 (?)	UKMO-HADCM3 (?)
UKMO-HadGEM1 (?) Check All	MCAR CCSM3 (?)		

Figure F: Model selection panel.

Once the above settings have been selected, you can generate climate futures for your region of interest. Just click on a region on the Australia region map. The Climate Futures Matrix will then be displayed.

Displaying the projections: the Climate Futures Matrix

The **Climate Futures Matrix screen** shows projected climate futures for a chosen time period and greenhouse gas scenario for the two classifying climate variables in the region of interest, with additional information displayed within each climate future cell. (Figure G).

1	(Annual Surface Temperature (C)					
Î	2	Slightly Warmer < 0.50			Warmer 0.50 to 1.50			Hotter 1.50 to 3.00	Much Hotter > 3.00
	Much Drier < -15.00								
					3 of 18 models 3]	Ē,		
				Su	Irface Temperature		Rainfall		
	120000		Model	Annual	May - October (MJJASO)	Annual	May - October (MJJASO)	4	3
	Drier -15.00		CMIP3 - MIROC3.2(medres)	1.45°C	1.42°C	-6.5%	-6.5%		N
	to -5.00)	CMIP3 - CNRM-CM3	1.12°C	1.17°C	-7.5%	-6.7%		7
Annual			CMIP3 - IPSL-CM4	1.33°C	1.22°C	-7.8%	-2.7%		1
Rainfall		6	Mean	1.3	1.3	-7.3	-5.3		
()			Standard Deviation	0.1	0.1	0.5	1.8	1	
				Us Us	e this cell for model selectio	n 8	1	/	
	Little Change -5.00 to 5.00	+ 2 of 18 models			11 of 18 models		Ē		
	Wetter 5.00 to 15.00				2 of 18 models		+		
	Much Wetter > 15.00								
					[9	Representative Mod	el Wizard	
						Likeli	hood Proportion	of models	

Likelihood	Proportion of models
Not projected	No models
Very Low	< 10%
Low	10% - 33%
Moderate	33% - 66%
High	66% - 90%
Very High	> 90%

Statistics					1	LO 🕕
	Surface Temperature			Rainfall		
	Annual	November - April (NDJFMA)	May - October (MJJASO)	Annual	November - April (NDJFMA)	May - October (MJJASO
Mean	0.9	1.0	0.9	-0.7	-1.8	-0.3
Standard Deviation	0.3	0.4	0.3	4.5	11.7	3.9

Figure G: An example climate futures matrix.

- 1. The **classifying climate variables** and corresponding **seasons** that you have selected are found along the top and left sides of the matrix.
- 2. There are **pre-defined categories** for the classification. For temperature and rainfall they range from "Slightly Warmer" to "Much Hotter" and "Much Drier" to "Much Wetter".
- 3. Likelihoods are assigned to each climate future based on the number of models

projecting this future, and these are colour-coded as previously described (Table C, page 13).

- 4. The **climate variables** and **seasons** you have selected in the display options panel appear in corresponding cells in the climate futures matrix when the individual cells are expanded. **Note**: Large numbers of display options will cause the results to extend off the screen and can be viewed by using the screen scroll bars.
- 5. The **models** you have included are displayed in the output matrix when the cells are expanded.
- 6. Values for **mean** and **standard deviation** are given for each climate future in the expanded cells.
- 7. Expand/Collapse buttons (+ / -) allow you to view details of the climate future.
- 8. Check box to select this climate future for use in the Representative Model Wizard.
- 9. The **Representative Model Wizard** helps you find a suitable climate model for your specific application. See the next section for further details.
- 10. Statistics of all model data for selected display variables and seasons.

Selecting a representative model: Representative Model Wizard

In many impact assessments it is not practical to evaluate the impacts for all climate models from each climate future. In such cases it is often appropriate to identify two or three climate futures that are of the most relevance to the impact assessment. One or two climate models can then be selected to represent each of these climate futures. The **Representative Model Wizard** is a tool for objectively selecting a climate model from each climate future of interest.

To access this feature, click on the Representative Model Wizard button within a certain climate future. You will then be presented with the model selection wizard as shown in Figure H:

Surface Temp	erature 1 Mear	ı V	▼ 2 ^Δ
Rainfall	Mean V	1	V
Variable	Season	Rank Method	Rank 3
Rainfall	Annual	Mean 🔻	1 🔻
Rainfall	NDJFMA	Mean 🔻	1 🔻
Rainfall	MJJASO	Mean 🔻	1 🔻

Figure H: Representative Model Selection Wizard.

The model selection wizard displays each combination of **climate variable** and **season** that was displayed in the climate futures matrix.

1. The **Rank Method** settings are used to determine which **statistical measure** will be used to evaluate each model within a particular climate future. For example, if you

wish to select a model that is representative of the mid-range of a particular sub-set, you should set the rank method to **Mean**. If you wish to select a model that is representative of the upper or lower end of the range of a certain climate future, set the rank method to **Max** or **Min** by clicking on the little arrows in the drop-down boxes.

- 2. The Rank settings can be used to assign relative weightings or priorities to individual variable season combinations (if variable field is expanded see point 3). For example, if surface temperature in November to April is the most important for an impact assessment, it would be ranked 1. The other variable-season combinations would be assigned lower rankings.
- 3. Rank methods and rank priorities are grouped by variable. For finer grained control each variable/season can be ranked separately by selecting the **variable** expand button.

Once you are done, click on the **Rank button** at the bottom (not shown). You are then presented with the ranked results for your chosen settings (Figure I). You see that each model that fell into your chosen climate future is assigned a score. The climate model having the **lowest score (at the top)** depicts the most representative model based on your ranking criteria. Note that only models that can provide all of the variables selected under Display Options will be ranked.

Model	Score	
NCAR CCSM3	7.1	Export
CSIRO Mk3.5	25.8	Export
CCCMA: CCCM3.1(T47)	28.0	Export
CCCMA: CGCM3.1(T63)	29.1	Export
CSIRO Mk3.0	33.6	Export
CNRM: CM3	43.7	Export

Figure I: Representative Model Wizard output.

The Export button next to each climate model and score can be used to download data.

Exporting Data for Impact Assessments

Once representative models have been selected for the climate futures of relevance to the impact assessment, the projected change values for that model can be obtained directly from the *Climate Futures* tool. To export data, just click on the **Export button** in the **Representative Model Selection Wizard** (Figure I).

A download menu then opens up, providing the option to save a template Excel spreadsheet to your computer. This spreadsheet will look similar to the one shown in Figure J (you will need to adjust the column widths in order to view the data correctly).

- 4	A	В	C	D	E
1	Climate Futures for Country X, 2	055 A2 - high emissions,	using model CCCMA: C	CCCM3.1(T47)	
2					
3					
4	Variable	Season	Increase/Decrease	Units	
5	Surface Temperature	Annual	1.159540862	C	
6	Rainfall	Annual	1.994942815	%	
7					
8	Observed Climate			Future Climate: 2055 A2 - high emissions	
9	Surface Temperature Annual	Rainfall Annual		Surface Temperature Annual	Rainfall Annual
10	<insert obs=""></insert>	<insert obs=""></insert>		NA	NA
11	<insert obs=""></insert>	<insert obs=""></insert>		NA	NA
12	<insert obs=""></insert>	<insert obs=""></insert>		NA	NA
13	<insert obs=""></insert>	<insert obs=""></insert>		NA	NA
14	<insert obs=""></insert>	<insert obs=""></insert>		NA	NA
15	<insert obs=""></insert>	<insert obs=""></insert>		NA	NA
16	<insert obs=""></insert>	<insert obs=""></insert>		NA	NA
17	<insert obs=""></insert>	<insert obs=""></insert>		NA	NA
18	<insert obs=""></insert>	<insert obs=""></insert>		NA	NA
19	<insert obs=""></insert>	<insert obs=""></insert>		NA	NA
20	<insert obs=""></insert>	<insert obs=""></insert>		NA	NA

Figure J: Template Excel spreadsheet.

Enter your observed climate variable data in the cells labelled "<insert obs>" under the corresponding variable heading. **Note**: Observational data should be from the same baseline used for the projections (twenty year period 1980-1999). The values will be automatically scaled to the selected time period and greenhouse gas scenario under the heading **Future Climate**.

Comparing Projections

Climate Futures provides tools to easily compare different greenhouse gas scenarios and time periods. To compare projections, select **Compare Climate Projections** in the top menu bar. You will see the comparison interface as shown in Figure K. In this interface, the user can select multiple combinations of greenhouse gas scenarios and time periods for comparison. These can be displayed as multiple matrices or combined into one matrix.

Climate Futures Comparison Tool	
Select the comparison method and climate variables and seasons you wish to examine.	
Then add time periods and emissions scenarios of interes and select a region of interest found on the map below.	
Select a comparison method	
Multiple Matricies	()
Select classifying variables and season	
Surface Temperature T Annual T	
Rainfall T	
i camer	
Target Period(s) and Emisisons Scenario(s)	
Experiment A1B - medium emissions V Time Period 2030 V 🤤 remove	6
Experiment A1B - medium emissions Time Period 2055 Fremove	~
Experiment RCP 4.5 Time Period 2030 V 🤤 remove	4
Fxneriment RCP.4.5 Time Period Control Temove	
add another	
	8
the second is the second secon	12 Sal
	45
	5
IIKW SA	1.5
and the second sec	
RN	Same
ECH	and the second second
H Jak	
RS to T	
SSWFW ECS	
SSWFE ME	
Transact	
SSVE	

Figure K: Comparing climate projections window.

- 1. Drop-down menu to select **comparison method** (multiple or composite).
- 2. Drop-down menus to select the **classifying variables** used to define the climate futures matrix: two climate variables and their associated season (default climate variables are temperature and rainfall, and the default season is annual).
- 3. Drop down menus for selecting a **greenhouse gas scenario** and **time period** (defaults are A1B and 2030).
- 4. Red circular **Add/Remove buttons** to determine target periods (greenhouse gas scenario and time period).
- 5. Map of the Australia regions click to explore climate futures.

Add/Remove experiments and target periods

Up to nine target periods and greenhouse gas scenarios can be added for comparison via the **Add** button. Once the above settings have been selected, you can compare climate futures for your region of interest. Just click on a region on the Australia region map. Depending on the comparison method chosen you will be presented with either the Multiple Matrices (Figure L) or a Composite Matrix (Figure M).

Note: Changes displayed in both the composite matrix and the multiple matrices are relative to the period 1980 – 1999.

Comparing projections: multiple matrices

The **Multiple Matrices screen** (Figure L) shows projected climate futures for each chosen time period and greenhouse gas scenario for the two classifying climate variables in the region of interest. These are smaller versions of the full matrix view (Figure G) and only show the spread of model results, and no model names or variable results can be obtained from this view. This view can be used to quickly view how a climate projection changes over time or how projections vary under different greenhouse gas scenarios.



Figure L: Comparing climate projections – multiple matrices comparison.

- 1. The **time period** and **greenhouse gas scenarios** for which the climate futures were generated are listed here, along with red circular **Add/Remove buttons**.
- 2. Refresh button to update the results.
- 3. Climate futures are grouped with greenhouse gas scenario running top to bottom and time periods from left to right.
- 4. Climate Futures matrix for each time period and greenhouse gas scenario
- 5. There are pre-defined **categories** for the classification. For temperature and rainfall they range from: "Slightly Warmer" to "Much Hotter" and "Much Drier" to "Much Wetter".
- 6. Likelihoods are assigned to the different "climate futures" using the colour shadings described previously (Table C, page 9).

Comparing projections: Composite Matrices

The Composite Matrix screen shows projected "climate futures" for each chosen time period, and greenhouse gas scenario for the two classifying climate variables in the region of interest in a single matrix. (Error! Reference source not found.). This view is similar to the advanced view (Figure J) with the exception that the associated time period and greenhouse gas scenario are displayed alongside the corresponding model names. This view is useful for looking at the combined likelihood of a climate future to see if certain climate futures only occur under at certain time periods/greenhouse gas scenario.



Figure M: Comparing Climate Projections – Composite Matrix Comparison

- 1. The **time period** and **greenhouse gas scenarios** for which the "climate futures" were generated are listed here.
- 2. Add/Remove time periods and greenhouse gas scenarios and refresh the results.
- 3. Models along with the associated target period and greenhouse gas scenario are displayed within the Climate Futures Matrix.

Glossary of Terms

Throughout this Workbook and *Climate Futures*, you will encounter a number of terms. The following terms are common to all three user modes.

Climate Future

A Climate Future is the description of a plausible future climate, both qualitative (for example: warmer and wetter) and quantitative (for example: 10 of 18 models agree), as described by the combination of two climate variables, for a particular time period and greenhouse gas scenario. Climate futures can be assigned an indicative likelihood rating based on the proportion of climate models that fall within the climate future. In Basic Mode, climate futures are always described by the combination of annual-average surface temperature change and annual-average rainfall change. In Advanced Mode, these variables can be modified.

Variable	Units of	Notos	
variable	change	Notes	
Surface temperature	°C	Mean temperature as measured at 2 m above the	
		ground	
Maximum temperature	°C	Mean daily maximum temperature as measured at	
		2 m above the ground	
Minimum temperature	°C	Mean daily minimum temperature as measured at	
		2 m above the ground	
Rainfall	%*	Mean rainfall	
Heavy rainfall	%*	99th percentile mean daily rainfall: equivalent to the	
		average of the four wettest days in a year, two	
		wettest days in 6-months, etc.	
Dry days	%*	Mean number of days with <1 mm rainfall	
Relative humidity	%*	Mean relative humidity as measured at 2 m above the	
		ground	
Potential evapotranspiration	%*	Wet Areal Potential Evapotranspiration calculated	
		according to Morton (1983)	
Solar radiation	%*	Surface downward shortwave radiation (equivalent to	
		'global radiation'): a commonly used measure in	
		agriculture and energy applications.	
Wind speed	%*	Mean wind speed, calculated from eastward and	
		northward components at 10 m above the ground	
Strong wind	%*	99th percentile mean daily wind speed: equivalent to	
		the average of the four windiest days in a year, two	
		windiest days in 6-months, etc	

Climate variables

* Actual values may also be displayed if desired

Greenhouse Gas Scenario

Climate Futures provides projections based on the climate models used for the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change's Fourth Assessment Report (AR4) as well as those that will be used for the Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) due for release in 2015. Projections based on the AR4 models (also called the CMIP3 models) can be generated for three greenhouse gas scenarios as described below (for further information, see the *Special Report on Greenhouse gas scenarios* (Nakićenović and Swart, 2000; sometimes called the SRES). Note that projections based on the AR5 models (CMIP5) use a new set of future greenhouse gas concentration scenarios called Representative Concentration Pathways (refer to the glossary entry for more detail).

A1B The A1B greenhouse gas scenario is a specific case within the A1 scenario. The A1 storyline describes a future world of very rapid economic growth, a global population that peaks in mid-century and declines thereafter, and the rapid introduction of new and more efficient technologies. Major underlying themes are convergence among regions, capacity building and increased cultural and social interactions, with a substantial reduction in regional differences in per capita income. The A1 storyline develops into three scenario groups that describe alternative directions of technological change in the energy system. They are distinguished by their technologies (A1T), or a balance across all sources (A1B) (where balanced is defined as not relying too heavily on one particular energy source, on the assumption that similar improvement rates apply to all energy supply and end use technologies).

B1 The B1 storyline describes a convergent world with the same global population as in the A1 storyline (one that peaks in midcentury and declines thereafter) but with rapid change in economic structures toward a service and information economy, with reductions in material intensity and the introduction of clean and resource efficient technologies. The emphasis is on global solutions to economic, social and environmental sustainability, including improved equity, but without additional climate initiatives.

A2 The A2 storyline describes a very heterogeneous world. The underlying theme is self reliance and preservation of local identities. Fertility patterns across regions converge very slowly, which results in continuously increasing population. Economic development is primarily regionally oriented and per capita economic growth and technological change more fragmented and slower than other storylines.

Key Climate Futures

A subset of the projected *climate futures* for a given location, classifying variables, time-period and greenhouse gas scenario that are the most important for decision making. These are usually described as "Best Case", "Worst Case" and "Most Likely Case". See also *Climate Future*.

The following 'key climate futures', which are often relevant to risk assessments, are referred to in the Advanced user training.

Most Likely Case

Of the climate futures projected (for a given location, classifying variables, time period and greenhouse gas scenario), the Most Likely Climate Future is that represented by the greatest number of climate models. It must be remembered, however that the climate that does eventuate could lie outside the range of climates projected by any of the climate models used in *Climate Futures*.

Least Change Case

Of the climate futures projected (for a given location, classifying variables, time period and greenhouse gas scenario), the *Least Change* Climate Future is that which is closest to the current (1980 – 1999) climate in terms of the selected variables.

Best Case

Of the climate futures projected (for a given location, classifying variables, time period and greenhouse gas scenario), the *Best Case* climate future is that which would result in the most positive (or least negative) impacts on the system being assessed. For example, in an assessment of the possible impacts of changing climate on mosquitoborne diseases, the climate future with the least increase in temperature and a decrease (or least increase) in rainfall is likely to represent the *Best Case*. Choosing the *Best Case* climate future requires a good understanding of the system being studied.

Worst Case

Of the climate futures projected for a given time period and greenhouse gas scenario, the *Worst Case* Climate Future is that which would result in the most negative (or least positive) impacts on the system being assessed. For example, in an assessment of the possible impacts of changing climate on mosquito-borne diseases, the climate future with the greatest increase in temperature and the greatest increase (or least decrease) in rainfall is likely to represent the *Worst Case*. Choosing the *Worst Case* climate future requires a good understanding of the system being studied.

Lower Likelihood, Potentially High Impact

Of the climate futures projected for a given time period and greenhouse gas scenario the lower likelihood potentially high impact are the largest changes in temperature and rainfall that are projected. These could represent a *best case* and/or a *worst case* depending on the impacts on the system being assessed.

Representative Concentration Pathways

Climate Futures provides projections based on the climate models used for the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change's Fourth Assessment Report (AR4) as well as those that will be used for the Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) due for release in 2015. Projections based on the AR4 models (also called the CMIP3 models) can be generated for three greenhouse gas scenarios (for more detail see the Greenhouse gas scenarios glossary entry above). Projections based on the AR5 models (CMIP5) use a new set of future greenhouse gas concentration scenarios called Representative Concentration Pathways, or RCPs.

The Representative Concentration Pathways (RCP) are based on selected scenarios from four modelling teams working on integrated assessment modelling, climate modelling, and modelling and analysis of impacts (Vuuren *et al.* 2011). Unlike the earlier (SRES) greenhouse gas scenarios, the RCPs are not new, fully integrated scenarios (i.e., they are not a complete package of socioeconomic, greenhouse gas, and climate projections). They are consistent sets of projections of only the components of radiative forcing (the change in the balance between incoming and outgoing radiation to the atmosphere caused primarily by changes in atmospheric composition) that are meant to serve as input for climate modelling. Conceptually, the process begins with pathways of radiative forcing, not detailed socioeconomic narratives or scenarios. Central to the process is the concept that any single radiative forcing pathway can result from a diverse range of socioeconomic and technological development scenarios. Four RCPs were selected, defined and named according to their total

radiative forcing in 2100 (see table below).

RCP 8.5	Rising radiative forcing pathway leading to 8.5 W/m ² in 2100.
RCP 6	Stabilization without overshoot pathway to 6 W/m ² at stabilization after 2100
RCP 4.5	Stabilization without overshoot pathway to 4.5 W/m ² at stabilization after 2100
RCP 2.6	Peak in radiative forcing at \sim 3 W/m ² before 2100 and decline

Of these, *Climate Futures* provides projections for RCP4.5 and RCP 8.5.

Time Period

Climate Futures allows you to explore projections for up to 13 future time periods, in 10 year increments from 2030 to 2090, depending on the greenhouse gas scenario selected.

References

CSIRO and Australian Bureau of Meteorology (2007): Climate Change in Australia. Technical Report, <u>www.climatechangeinaustralia.gov.au/technical_report.php</u>, accessed 25/05/2011

Giorgi F, Jones C and Asrar GR (2009) Addressing climate information needs at the regional level: the CORDEX framework. *WMO Bulletin* **58**(3), 175-183.

Meehl GA, Boer GJ, Covey C, Latif M and Stouffer RJ (2000) The Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP). *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* **81**(2), 313-318.

Morton FI (1983): Operational estimates of areal evapotranspiration and their significance to the science and practice of hydrology, *Journal of Hydrology* **66**, 1-76.

Nakićenović N, Swart R (2000) (Ed.): Special Report on Greenhouse gas Scenarios. A Special Report of Working Group III of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.

Taylor KE, Stouffer RJ and Meehl GA (2011) An Overview of CMIP5 and the Experiment Design. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* **93**(4), 485-498.

Australia (2011): Climate Change in the Australia, www.Australiaclimatechangescience.org, available in November 2011, accessed 25/05/2011.

Vuuren DP, Edmonds J, Kainuma M, Riahi K, Thomson A, Hibbard K, Hurtt GC, Kram T, Krey V, Lamarque J-F, Masui T, Meinshausen M, Nakicenovic N, Smith SJ and Rose SK (2011) The representative concentration pathways: an overview. Climatic Change 109(1-2), 5-31.